

Guidance for writing a review article for JPhys Energy™

Review articles in JPhys Energy should be:

- On a broad interest topic and/or interdisciplinary enough in scope to be of interest to a wide audience.
- Timely and warranting a review.
- As comprehensive as possible, capturing all key developments in recent years in the given topic. Reviews should not be limited to the output of just one or two groups.
- More than a listing of literature; Summaries, meta-studies and correlative material are all encouraged as they can provide insight and guidance to the reader.

Outlines

The Journal team appreciates the large amount of work that goes into writing an authoritative review article. Therefore, we ask for a brief outline of the review before the full writing begins, to ensure the authors' vision is in line with journal expectations. These outlines will be reviewed by our in-house team, in consultation with the Editor-in-Chief/Editorial Board where necessary.

Outlines should include:

- A working title
- A short abstract
- A list of headings and subheadings, detailing the intended coverage of the review

Authors of unsolicited (i.e. not invited) reviews should also provide answers to the following:

- What is the motivation for the article, and why does this subject warrant a review?
- Have there been recent reviews on this topic or closely related topics? If so, please list and reference them and describe what is novel about the proposed review.

Please send unsolicited review outlines to the journal team **jpenergy@ioppublishing.org** for review.

Article length

While we do not have strict formatting requirements for review articles, in general we would expect them to be around 8000-14000 words in length (ca. 20-30 pages) depending on the size and maturity of the field.