

Regular Report

GUIDANCE FOR REVIEWERS:

Please read the journal scope and the requirements for specific article types before writing your review. You can find the scope, article types, and other information about Physica Scripta [here](#).

For instructions on how to write a review, please [click here](#).

QUALITY RATING

Please rate the manuscript for the originality, significance and clarity of the content:

	Very Low Not publishable	Low Would require major improvement to be publishable in this journal	Medium With improvement would be suitable to be published in this journal	High Likely to be publishable in this journal	Very High Definitely publishable in this journal
<small>req</small> Originality					
<small>req</small> Significance					
<small>req</small> Clarity					

QUESTIONS

Please answer these questions, which are for the benefit of the Editors (the answers will not normally be transmitted to the authors). Adding explanatory comments in the boxes provided is optional.

req Please rate the scientific rigour of the article.

Is this article fully or partially in your area of expertise?

Is this article better suited to the scope of another journal? Here is a [list of all IOP journals.](#))

(If yes, please indicate in the box which journal(s))

Has the author made reference to the most recent and most appropriate work?
 (If no, please provide any missing references in your Comments to the authors.)

We evaluate the reviewer reports we receive on a scale of 1 to 5.

Would you like us to provide an evaluation of your reviewer report?

Please note, we will not provide an evaluation until we have made a decision on the manuscript. The evaluation will be based on the structure, constructiveness, and usefulness of the report, not the scientific content. We do not provide evaluations of Editorial Board/Advisory Panel Member or Guest Editor reports.

req Recommendation

- Accept
- Revisions required before acceptance (only changes to the text are required)
- Major revision required before acceptance
- Reject (adds little new knowledge to the field; may be publishable in an archival journal)
- Reject (contains basic errors and faulty judgements; not publishable in any journal)

Comments

CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS TO THE EDITOR(S)

Please enter any comments you wish to make to the Editor(s) that are not part of your report for the authors. Please bear in mind that authors can request to see these comments under the Data Protection Act 1998 if they so wish.

req COMMENTS TO THE AUTHOR(S)

Please support your answers with written comments, suitable for transmission to the authors. [Or upload your comments as a file below.]

req Reviewer opted in to receive recognition on Web of Science? (Yes/No answer required)

- Yes
- No